Mr. T. MARIYAPPA.—How much power is expected to be generated when the project is completed?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—20,000 H. P.

Mr. T. MARIYAPPA.—Which are the towns to be served with electricity when this scheme is completed?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—Bhadravati and many other places within a reasonable distance.

Mr. T. MARIYAPPA.—Is the power generated at present at Sivasamudram not sufficient to meet the demand at Bhadravati?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—No. An Aluminium Factory and many other industrial concerns are likely to spring up in that area, and additional power from this project also will be quite necessary. There are also many other places which require power for lighting. All these demands will be met from the project now undertaken.

Mr. K. VENKATAGIRI RAO.—Is there any idea of supplying power generated at Gersoppa to places outside the State?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—It can be done if necessary. In fact there is an understanding to supply about 5,000 H.P. to the Bombay Government.

Mr. K. VENKATAGIRI RAO.—Will the return be satisfactory?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—Yes. The rate will be fixed after a careful consideration.

Facilities for the Jatra at Ghati Subrahmanya.

Q.—5. **Messrs. Shampur PAPANNA, S. MUNIVENKATAPPA** and **A. DASAPPA**, Minorities; **T. MADIAH GOWDA** and **SIDDE GOWDA**, Channapatna Taluk; **B. CHOODE GOWDA**, Closepet Taluk; **C. N. NARASINGA RAO** and **B. L. BYANNA**, Bangalore City Municipality; **Gurukar VENKATARAMANE GOWDA, V. L. CHAME GOWDA** and **S. KARIAPPA**, Kankanhalli Taluk; **B. MALLAPPA**, Nelamangala Taluk; **R. DASAPPA** and **A. HANUMANTHARAYAPPA**, Devanhalli Taluk; **K. NARAYANA SETTY**, Magadi Municipality; **B. MUNIVENKATAPPA, A. G. RAMIAH REDDY** and **N. APPANNA REDDY**, Bangalore Taluk; **K. P. PARASIVIAH**, Kankanhalli Municipality; **Patel HONNASIDDIAH** and **T. THIMMARAYAPPA**, Magadi Taluk; **Patel VEERE GOWDA** and **Patel HANUME GOWDA**, Dodballapur Taluk; **Patel NARAYANA GOWDA** and **GURUSWAMY GOWDA**, Hosakote Taluk; **H. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO** and **B. M. MUNISWAMAPPA**, Specia Interests.—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the income derived for the last 10 years from the annual *jatra* held at Ghati Subrahmanyaswami in Dodballapur Taluk, Bangalore District;

(*b*) the amount spent by the Government in connection with the said *jatra*;

(*c*) the annual savings out of the income derived from the *jatra*;

(*d*) whether it has come to the notice of Government that much inconvenience is felt as there is no bridge across the rivulet "Bandihalla" near the *jatra* ground;

(*e*) if it has come to the notice of Government, the reasons for not utilising any amount for affording facilities both to the pilgrims and the cattle though there are funds available out of the income derived from the *jatra*?

A.—**The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, GENERAL DEPARTMENT (Mr. C. Subrahmanya Aiyar).**—

(*a*) to (*d*) A statement is appended. (Appendix.)

(*e*) A road dam with slabs for the wheel tracks has been constructed, and the inconvenience reduced.

Mr. Shampur PAPANNA.—From the statement furnished in answer to subsections (*a*) to (*d*), it is seen that the expenditure is more than the income. Was this additional amount provided from the State Funds?

Mr. C. SUBRAHMANYA AIYAR.—As stated in the remarks column of the statement, Rs. 6,000 has been borrowed from one of the temples, and spent on water works.

Mr. Shampur PAPANNA.—Are the Government aware that the facilities already provided are not quite adequate?

Mr. C. SUBRAHMANYA AIYAR.—Proposals to provide improved facilities are under consideration.